

SUMMARY SHEET			
AGENDA NR. 1.13 – 10	STOP	TB PARTNERSHIP HOST	ING ARRANGEMENTS
IS THIS SESSION FOR:	FORMATION	Discussion 🔀	DECISION X
BACKGROUND (INCLUDING PROBLEM STATEMENT):			
Since March 2011, the Stop TB Partnership Coordinating Board has sought to clarify the hosting arrangements of the Partnership. In late 2012, at the request of the Coordinating Board, a Review Team was engaged to conduct an independent review of the current hosting arrangements of the Partnership and to provide a comparison against two or three alternative hosting options, with the aim of facilitating informed discussion and decision-making by the Board on this matter.			
As outlined in the methodology for the Hosting Review presented to the Board in November 2012, the Hosting Review has sought to address three key questions:			
 What are the optimal hosting arrangements for the Partnership to effectively and efficiently deliver on the new Operational Strategy? What are the current legal and administrative arrangements under which the Secretariat operates? 			
3. How do the current arrangements compare to the three alternatives explored?			
Summary/Outline of the Session: Tamima Boutel, Team Leader for the Hosting Review, will present the general findings of the Review based on the three questions outlined above. Anant Vijay, Finance and Operational Management Expert for the Hosting Review, will present the financial analysis of the Review. The financial section of this session may be confidential due to the sensitive nature of the information. Board members will be advised before the start of the session of any confidentiality requirements. Amy Bloom, as Chair of the Executive Committee that provided guidance on the Hosting Review, will then lead an open discussion of the Board and the Hosting Review Team will address questions from the Board. The expected output of this session is a decision of the Board on next steps.			
MAJOR DISCUSSION POINTS OR DECISION POINTS REQUESTED: Decision point to follow			
Is this a Special Project? (i.e. Should be undertaken for strategic reasons and is currently partially or completely unfunded?) Yes \(\subseteq \text{No } \subseteq \)			
If so, what funding is already available?			
What is the funding gap? Are there HR implications? Yes ☐ No ☒. If so, what?			
Are there fix implications: res \(\subseteq No \(\subseteq \). If so, what:			
NEXT STEPS			
ACTION REQUIRED: Support on agreed next steps			
RESPONSIBLE AGENCY/OFFICER: Governance Officer			
TIMEERAME: Refore the next Board meeting			